IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

Writ Petition No.23523 of 1997

–

Dated: February 07, 2007

–

–

Between:

Yerra Umamaheswar Rao, S/o. late Venkata Rao, Hindu, aged about 31 years, R/o. Srikakulam.

… Petitioner

And

Vice Chancellor, Andhra University, Waltair, Visakhapatnam, and others.

… Respondents

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 23523 OF 1997

ORDER:

In this Writ Petition, the petitioner seeks direction by way of Writ of Mandamus to the respondents to inquire into constitution, management and functioning of Maddi Pattabhi Rami Reddy Law College, Srikakulam.

Fifth Respondent-Law College is started by an Educational Society, by name, Jagannadha Swamy Educational Society, which was registered under the Societies Registration Act. It is the grievance of the petitioner that when he approached the fifth respondent-Law college intending to study law course, he did not find sufficient infrastructure in the said college, and, as such, he seeks direction to enquire into the same.

Respondents 5,6 and 7 filed detailed common counter affidavit denying the various allegations made by the petitioner. It is their case that the Writ Petition is filed with baseless allegations, only to bring down the reputation of the institution.

In this case, it is not in dispute that the fifth respondent-Law College is having due permission to run the law course from the competent authority, Bar Council of India and thereafter, on the application, orders were also issued by Andhra University extending affiliation to the said college. When the necessary permission is granted by the competent authority to run the law college and affiliation by the concerned University, it implies that the said college is having necessary infrastructure. If any lapses are there on the part of the authorities in granting permission/affiliation in absence of required infrastructure, it is open for the petitioner to bring it to their notice pointing out lapses and deficiencies. But, however, straightaway, it is not open for the petitioner to seek direction by way of Writ of Mandamus. Though the petitioner claims to be resident of Srikakulam and President of Arunodaya Youth Cultural Association, but Writ Petition is not filed in public interest and the same is filed in his own interest to study law course. Neither any material is there to show that at least the petitioner had made an application seeking admission nor

any proceedings are filed showing allotment of seat to him in the said college. In that view of the matter, I do not find any merit in the Writ Petition for grant of relief as prayed for.

The Writ Petition is accordingly dismissed. No order as to costs.

JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

DATE: 07-02-2007
Mrr/Msnr